

Consanguinity

I K Jalili

- 9.1 Definition of Consanguinity
 - 9.2 Consanguinity throughout History
 - 9.3 Why consanguinity?
 - 9.4 Subtypes of cousin marriage (cultural concepts)
 - 9.5 Prevalence of Consanguinity
 - 9.7 Deleterious effects
-

9.1 Definition of Consanguinity

Consanguinity is a marriage between relatives and has various degrees. Closely related individuals have a higher chance of carrying the same alleles than those less closely related and therefore children from consanguineous marriages are more frequently homozygous for various alleles than those from non-consanguineous unions. ⁽¹⁾

9.2 Consanguinity throughout History

Consanguinity has been afforded various degrees of legitimacy by different societies and at different times in history. This would therefore explain brother/sister marriage as practised between the members of the reigning dynasty of ancient Egypt and the Incas who considered 'royal blood' only worthy of mixing with each other. It is interesting to note that despite consanguinity with sisters among the Pharaohs, no ocular defect was recorded until the 18th generation. ⁽²⁾ In Biblical heritage, Abraham's first wife, Sarah, was his step sister.

9.3 Why Consanguinity?

Several factors contribute to consanguinity. These are economic and cultural factors, encounter, social and cultural isolation. In Bedouin, the reasons given for favouring cousin marriages were clan solidarity, interpersonal compatibility, preservation of family property, parental authority, and social protection for women. ⁽³⁾ Bener found in Al-Ain, UAE that the only significant variable was the husband's education and parent's consanguinity. ⁽⁴⁾ The frequency of consanguinity in this region was found to increase significantly with the improvement of the husband's educational level; however, this does not seem the case in other regions such as Jordan. ⁽⁵⁾

Social Concepts and Consanguinity

The practise of consanguinity is usually cultural rather than religious and this was demonstrated by Tirosh who studied the practice in his survey of infants with visual deficits in Northern Israel. ⁽⁶⁾ Consanguinity was present in three of the ethnic groups studied. The rates recorded were Druze (85%), Christian (77%) and Muslim (72%) thus demonstrating that religious observances in some countries were outweighed

by cultural observances in others. Zlotogora found that first cousin marriage has decreased from 75% to 44% when analysed by 20 years periods in a Muslim village in Israel. Appendix 1

Social and Geographical Isolation

In addition to this form of consanguinity, is that where groups of people live in small isolated pockets which results in social isolation. Under these circumstances, the group from which a mate must be selected is small; therefore the proportion of first cousins in it is higher than in larger populations and random mating will more often result in consanguinity. This has been demonstrated in varying degrees of consanguinity for such isolated groups e.g. in an alpine community in Switzerland (11.5%), a district in Northern Sweden (6.8%) and a Brazilian group (20%).⁽¹⁾ Other examples of genetic isolates are the populations of Newfoundland and Labrador.^{(7), (8), (9)} where inbreeding is common, and also in islands such as Cyprus where the whole island has become practically one large family despite the fact the first cousin marriages are forbidden by the Greek Orthodox Church.⁽¹⁰⁾ In the former, it was suggested that the continuing high prevalence of genetic blindness in this province could be attributed to the genetic structure of the population, which derives from natural increase by settlers who arrived from highly circumscribed areas of Southwest England and Southern Ireland before 1835 and there is very little migration into these communities.⁽⁹⁾ Thus, frequencies of specific recessive disorders may be increased owing to inbreeding from mating between distant relatives and the frequency of a recessive disorder is reasonably attributed to 'founder effect'; a finding similar to Fraser's group of sex-linked myopia in South Australia.⁽¹¹⁾

Genetic Non-Relatedness

Consanguinity and genetic non-relatedness cannot be sharply distinguished from one another. There are many people descended from common ancestors who are unaware of the fact that they are related. In most geographical areas, man does not reproduce within pedigrees which are completely isolated from one another but,

rather in a network of relationships which joins all, or most strains together in a single reproductive unit. This is the case even where branches of a society seem to be separated. Over generations, prohibitions and barriers to inter-marriage break down, particularly as both legitimate and illegitimate unions lead equally to an interchange of genes. That being the case, tracing of pedigrees of any group of apparently unrelated individuals of similar territorial origin will reveal that many of them possess a common ancestor. Therefore, if two such people, who are apparently unrelated, marry, they do contract a distant consanguineous marriage.⁽¹⁾

Changing Trends and Non-Acceptance

Many societies now prohibit marriage between close relatives, perhaps as a result of the observations on the progeny of consanguineous marriages. These prohibitions vary in degree and in some countries include marriages between second cousins. In addition to national laws governing marriage between relatives, there are also religious laws that decree the levels of consanguinity allowed.⁽¹⁾

In addition to marriage between relatives, it is also custom, or law, for marriages between unrelated persons to be prohibited. These have included marriage between a person and his/her step-parent or between a person and his/her deceased uncle's/aunt's spouse. These prohibitions are based either on biological misconceptions or on non-biological grounds.⁽¹⁾

Inbreeding is becoming less common worldwide except among genetic isolates and regions where consanguinity is practiced. In Europe the change started from the beginning of the last century. In Norway this became evident from the 1920s onward.⁽¹²⁾

Even in social isolates, the fear of unhealthy offspring may also limit consanguineous marriages as found in the Swiss group above which had a lower frequency of cousin marriage (0.7%) as opposed to the Swedish group (6.8%) although the size of the two groups were similar.⁽¹⁾ This would seem to demonstrate that certain communities show a particular aversion to such marriages even within their isolated communities.

In Japan, ⁽¹³⁾ the trend of consanguineous marriage has been declining and was found to be 8 times lower in younger groups than the oldest groups. The overall rate of first cousin marriage in 1975 was reported at 2.23% in rural districts and 1.77 in urban areas. No consanguineous marriage was recorded in 32% of the 253 administrative units surveyed (There was also interregional variation with the Kyushu district showing the highest figures of inbreeding). Similarly, low consanguinity rates are found in the European countries, ^{(12), (14)} among the indigenous communities.

In the Arab world, a display of the presence of consanguinity amongst the various categories of visual loss has been demonstrated in a recent retrospective study in Saudi Arabia. ⁽¹⁵⁾

9.4 Subtypes of First Cousin Marriage





A brief outline is necessary because of the cultural concepts and the misconceptions about various subtypes. This would be important in advocacy. (Table 9.1)

In some communities particular emphasis is

placed upon certain forms of relationship such as type-A of cousin marriage ie the children of two brothers, which is the commonest type of cousin marriage, the highest being in Yemen. It is considered as the duty for the male to marry his cousin and an obligation for the female to accept. ⁽¹⁾ In Palestine, 20% of marriages were between first cousins. ⁽¹⁶⁾ The same pattern was reported in Pakistan where 60% of marriages are between first and second cousins. ⁽¹⁷⁾ Type-B is the second most common ie between the children of two sisters and it is believed that such marriages do not constitute a close family marriage as the sisters are from different family from that of the male cousin. In the Jewish society marriage between maternal uncle and niece was also practised. ⁽¹⁸⁾ This practice has parallels with a Chinese regulation whereby marriage between the children of a brother and sister or of two sisters is acceptable as a consequence of the social custom which assigned a woman to the family of her husband and thus regarded children as ‘not belonging’ to the biological family of the mother. However, the children of two brothers were considered to be of the same family and were therefore prohibited from marrying, despite

Table 9.1 Subtypes of consanguineous marriage ¹⁹

The first cousin marriage can be subdivided into 4 types according to the relationship of the parents. ⁽¹⁾ These are classified according to the sex of the couple’s parents who are sibs as follows:

			
Type A	Type B	Type C	Type D
<p>The marriage between the siblings of two brothers (the commonest)</p>	<p>The marriage of two siblings of two sisters. It (second commonest)</p>	<p>The marriage of two the male is the sibling of the brother and the female is the sibling of the sister.</p>	<p>The marriage of two cousins where the male is the sibling of the sister and the female is the sibling of the brother.</p>

that their genetic endowment is the same. ⁽¹⁾ Similar cultural practices and myth regarding the marriage between the children of a brother and sister or of two sisters exist in Middle Eastern communities, but marriages between the children of two brothers are favoured as mentioned above. ⁽¹⁾

9.4 Prevalence of Consanguinity

Consanguineous marriage remains common in many parts of the world and has been reported in various communities such as the Mormons. ⁽²⁷⁾, ⁽²⁸⁾ It is especially common in most of the Middle-Eastern countries where the custom in

Table 9.2 Consanguinity rates and cousin marriages worldwide

	Cohort	Cousin Marriages			Distant ^a Relation	Not Related
		1 st	2nd	Total		
Palestine (This study)						
WB	347	72	-	82 ^b	0	10
GS	289	69	-	93 ^b	93	0
Total OPT	669	70	-	86 ^b	-	6
Genetic cases	89	-	-	38	-	-
Acquired cases	57	-	-	3	-	-
Druze	-	-	-	49	-	-
Moslems	-	-	-	40	-	-
Christians	-	-	-	29	-	-
Palestinian village	1875	20 ^d	-	-	-	-
Jordan						
1980 ⁽¹⁹⁾	1995	33	6.8	51	15.5	49.7
1992 ^{(20) (5)}	2000	32	6.8	49	10.5	50
2003 - S. Ghor ⁽²¹⁾	608	-	-	58	-	-
Jordan 1992 ⁽⁵⁾	260					
Genetic cases	191	63.6	34.4	79	-	21
Non-genetic	69	52	48	33	-	66
Israel ⁽²²⁾						
Israeli Jews	4388	0.8	-	2.3	-	-
Eastern Jews	-	-	-	7	-	-
Other Arab and Muslim Countries						
Yemen, Sanaa ⁽²³⁾	1050	71.6	-	44.7	-	-
UAE ⁽²⁴⁾	2033	29.7 ^e	-	50.5	-	-
Al-Ain	-	32.7 ^e	1.6	54	-	-
Dubai	-	21.3 ^e	6.7	40	-	-
Current generation	-	-	-	50.5	-	-
Parent generation	-	-	-	39	-	-
Iraq ⁽²⁵⁾	-	30	-	-	-	-
Egypt						
Egypt ⁽²⁵⁾						
Nubian ⁽²⁶⁾	-	11.4	-	-	-	-
Egypt ³⁶	26,554	f	-	29	-	-

considered socially acceptable.^{(29), (30), (31), (16), (19), (20), (25), (23), (32), (33), (34), (26)} The same applies to other Muslim countries and regions such as India,⁽³⁵⁾ Pakistan^{(17), (36), (37), (38), (39)} and Uzbekistan.⁽⁴⁰⁾ This practice continued in some of the communities who settled the West such as the Pakistani community in the UK.^{(41), (42)} Table A1, demonstrates the frequency of consanguinity in various parts of the world.⁽¹⁶⁾

In the Arab countries, consanguinity has been reported with the highest frequency in Saudi Arabia⁽³³⁾ where it reaches 80% of marriages in certain parts of the Kingdom. The available figures for other countries in the Middle East and the rest of the world are shown in Table 9.2. Average figures ranges between 59% among the Iraqis,⁽²⁵⁾ 40% among the Palestinians,⁽³⁰⁾ 44% among the Yemenis in Sanaa',⁽²³⁾ 49-58% among the Jordanians^{(19), (20) (5)} and 40-54% in the UAE.⁽²⁴⁾ In Kuwait,⁽⁴³⁾ high rates of consanguineous marriages within the various Arab communities, with low frequency of intermarriage between them, and also the presence of genetic isolates and semi-isolates in some extended families and Bedouin tribes have been described. Consanguinity is less common in North African Arab countries where it was reported to be 29% in Egypt,⁽³²⁾ however, in another study on the Nubian population in southern Egypt the figures ranged between 41.5-45.5%.⁽²⁶⁾ The highest rates of such marriages have been reported in rural areas, among individuals with low educational levels, and among the poorest.

In Morocco,⁽⁴⁴⁾ however, with its contact with the outside world, a marked decrease in consanguineous unions is reported and consanguinity is disappearing and does not present a preoccupying problem for public health. However, this cannot be used as a generalisation as the trend has increased in younger generations in other Arab countries such as the UAE where the rate of consanguinity has risen from 39% in the parent generations to 50.5% in the current generation.⁽²⁴⁾

Available figures on consanguinity rates among the general population in the West Bank and Gaza Strip came from Pederson and Saunders.^{(45), (46)} The latter studies the rate of

consanguinity among Palestinians in all the refugee camps in the Gaza Strip.⁽⁴⁶⁾ Saunders analysed data according to the status of the household and professional status. The frequency of consanguinity ranged between 53% to 69% (Table 10-1) and is not related to the professional status of the person as figures were very similar in the various categories ranging from 58% to 63%. This shows its prevalence in this society.

Table 9.3 First cousin marriage in camps

Status	First Cousin	Not First Cousin	Total s
By Professional Status			
Professional	63	37	908
Student	60	40	127
Skilled worker	56	44	1023
Labourer	57	43	5317
Not working	58	42	10775
Total	50	41	18150
By Household Status			
Head of the HH	50	41	6207
Wife	59.5	40.5	6409
Child of HH	55	45	2434
Parent of HH	69	31	170
Relation of HH	53	47	3000
Total			81220

Percentage in *italics* (Adopted from Saunders CA)

9.6 Deleterious Effects of Consanguinity

The deleterious effects of consanguineous mating are high and predispose the offspring to the effects of recessively inherited disease. This is principally due to the frequency with which recessive genes exist over dominant genes within the population.⁽⁴⁷⁾ The frequency of malformations was found to parallel the degree of consanguinity.⁽¹⁴⁾

Higher proportions of first cousin marriage than the proportion in the general population have been reported in schizophrenia,⁽⁴⁸⁾ several congenital heart defects such as septal defects (atrial, ventricular and atrio-ventricular). Also reported are pulmonary stenosis and atresia,⁽⁴⁹⁾ cystic fibrosis, cystinosis, nephronophthisis, spinal muscular atrophy, albinism, achromatopsia,⁽⁵⁰⁾ hearing disorders,⁽⁵¹⁾ and central nervous system anomalies,⁽⁵²⁾ congenital anomalies, physical handicap, mental retardation and malignancies.⁽⁵³⁾ In Kuwait, higher incidence of Meckel syndromes, phenylketonuria, and familial Mediterranean fever have been documented in cousin marriage.⁽⁴³⁾

There is also an added risk of infant and child mortality.⁽⁴⁵⁾ Khlal and Khoury⁽⁵⁴⁾ found that inbreeding generally increases pre-reproductive mortality; crude mortality increases with inbreeding in proportion to the mortality rate. Morbidity increases significantly with inbreeding. Tuncbilek and Koc reported that infant and under-5 mortality is higher in first cousin marriage in Turkey.⁽⁵⁵⁾ Consanguinity can also influence the age of menopause.⁽⁵⁶⁾

The option of premarital carrier matching has been found to be acceptable with the Bedouin Muslim community in Israel. This is a form of genetic counselling where two individuals are told, if both are carriers, that they have a 25% risk in each pregnancy of having a child affected by the disease for which they are tested. If one individual is a carrier this information is not disclosed. This method also is supposed to reduce stigmatisation, especially of women.⁽³⁾

References

1. Stern C. Consanguinity. In: Principles of Human Genetics. WH Freeman & Co. San Francisco, USA. 1973: 368-501.
2. Franschetti A. Commission of the International Association for the Prevention of Blindness 1935.
3. Raz AE, Atar M. Cousin marriage and premarital carrier matching in a Bedouin community in Israel: attitudes, service development and educational intervention. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care* 2004; 30: 49-51.
4. Bener A, Abdulrazzaq YM, al-Gazali LI, Micallef R, al-Khayat AI, Gaber T. Consanguinity and associated socio-economic demographic factors in the United Arab Emirates. *Hum Hered* 1996; 46: 256-64.
5. al-Salem M, Rawashdeh N. Consanguinity in north Jordan: prevalence and pattern. *Biosoc Sci* 1993; 25: 553-6. .
6. Tirosh E, Schnitzer, MR, Atar S, Jaffe M. Severe visual deficits in infancy in Northern Israel: An epidemiological perspective. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1992; 29: 366-9. [Online]
7. Bear JC, Nemeck TF, Kennedy JC, Marshall WH, Power AA, Kolonel VM, Burke GB. Persistent genetic isolation on Outport /Newfoundland. *Am J Med Genet* 1987; 27: 807-30.
8. Green JS, Johnson GJ. Hereditary diseases as causes of blindness in Newfoundland: preliminary report. *Can J Ophthalmol* 1983; 18: 281-4.
9. Green JS, Bear JC, Johnson GJ. The burden of genetic eye disease. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1986; 70: 696-9.
10. Merin S, Lapithis AG, Horovitz, Michaelson IC. Childhood blindness in Cyprus. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1972; 74: 538-42.
11. Fraser GR. The causes of severe visual handicap among school children in South Australia. *Med J Australia* 1968; 16: 615-9.
12. Saugstad LF. Inbreeding in Norway. *Ann Hum Genet* 1977; 40: 481-91.
13. Imaizumi Y, Shinozaki N, Aoki H. Inbreeding in Japan: results of a nation-wide study. *Jap J Human Genet* 1975; 20: 91-107.
14. Stoll C, Alembik Y, Dott B, Feingold J. Parental consanguinity as a cause of increased incidence of birth defects in a study of 131,760 consecutive births. *Am J med Genet* 1994; 49: 114-7.
15. Tabbara FK, El-Shaikh MF, Shawaf SS. Pattern of childhood blindness at a referral centre in Saudi Arabia. *Ann Saudi Med* 2005; 25: 18-21.

16. Zlotogora J, Habiballa H, Odatalla A, Barges S. Changing family structure in a modernizing society: a study of marriage patterns in a single Muslim village in Israel. *Am J Human Biol* 2002; 14: 680-2.
17. Hakim A. Comments on "Consanguineous marriage in Pakistan". *Pak Dev Rev* 1994; 33: 675-6.
18. Foreign & Commonwealth Office. Country Profiles. Middle East and North Africa. <http://www.fco.gov.uk/servlet/Front?pagename=OpenMarket/Xcelerate/ShowPage&c=Page&cid=1007029394365&continent=3> (Accessed 23 July 2004).
19. Khoury SA, Massad D. Consanguineous marriage in Jordan. *Am J Med Genet* 1992; 43: 769-75.
20. al-Salem M, Rawashdeh N. Pattern of childhood blindness and partial sight among Jordanians in two generations. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 1992; 28: 361-5.
21. Sueyoshi S, Ohtsuka R. The effect of polygyny and consanguinity on high fertility in the rural Arab population in South Jordan. *J Biosoc Sci* 2003; 54: 13-26.
22. Cohen T, Vardi-Saliternik R, Friedlander Y. Consanguinity, intra-community and intercommunity marriage in a population sample of Israeli Jews. *Ann Hum Biol* 2004; 31: 38-48.
23. Gunaid AA, Hummad NA, Tamim KA. Consanguineous marriage in the capital city Sana'a, Yemen. *J Biosoc Sci* 2004; 36: 111-21.
24. al-Gazali LI, Bener A, Abdulrazzaq YM, Micallef R, al-Khayat AI, Gaber T. Consanguineous marriages in the United Arab Emirates. *J Biosoc Sci* 1997; 29: 491-7.
25. Hamamy H, Alwan A. Hereditary disorders in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. *Bull World Health Organ* 1994; 72: 145-54.
26. Badr FM. Genetic studies of Egyptian Nubian Populations: I. Frequency and types of consanguineous marriages. *Hum Hered* 1972; 22: 387-98.
27. World Health Organization. Report of a WHO Advisory Group on Hereditary Diseases. Geneva, 3-5 October 1985. Unpublished WHO document HMG/AG/85.10.
28. Gilbert RE, Mulaik DD, Stephens FE, Woolf CM. An investigation of the frequency of consanguineous marriages among the Mormons and their relatives the United States. *Am J Hum Genet* 1956; 8: 236-52.
29. Klat M, Halabi S, Khudr A, Der Katosian VM. Perception of consanguineous marriages and their genetic effects among a sample of couples from Beirut. *Am J Med Genet* 1986; 25: 299-306.
30. Freundlich E, Hino N. Consanguineous marriage among rural Arabs in Israel. *Isr J Med Sci* 1984; 20: 1035-8.
31. Zlotogora J, Shalev S, Habiballah H, Barjes S. Genetic disorders among Palestinian Arabs: 3. Autosomal recessive disorders in a single village. *Am J Med Genet* 2000; 92: 343-5.
32. Hafez M, El-Tahan H, Awadalla M, El-Khayat H, Abdel-Gafar A, Ghoneim M. Consanguineous mating in the Egyptian population. *J Med Genet* 1983; 20: 58-60.
33. el-Hazimi MA, al-Swailem AR, Warsey AS, Sulaimani R, al-Meshari AA. Consanguinity among the Saudi Arabian population. *J Med Genet* 1955; 32: 623-6.
34. Sueyoshi S, Ohtsuka R. The effect of polygyny and consanguinity on high fertility in the rural Arab population in South Jordan. *J Biosoc Sci* 2003; 54: 13-26.
35. Bittles AH, Hussain R. An analysis of consanguineous marriage in the Muslim population of India at regional and state levels. *Ann Hum Biol* 2000; 27: 163-71.
36. Memon MS. Prevalence and causes of blindness in Pakistan. *J Pakistan Med Assoc* 1992; 42: 196-8.
37. Sethi S, Khan MD. Survey of Blind Schools in North West Frontier Province (An Institutional Based Study). *Pakistan J Ophthalmol* 2001; 17: 90-6.
38. Afghani T. Causes of Childhood Blindness and Severe Visual Impairment - Survey of the Blind Children from a Rural Population and Schools for the Blind in an Urban Area. *Pakistan J Ophthalmol* 2000; 16: 4-25.
39. Butt NH. Childhood Blindness - Aetiological Pattern and Hereditary Factors. *Pakistan J Ophthalmol* 2002; 18: 92-4.

40. Rogers NK, Gilbert CE, Foster A, Zahidov BO, McCollum CJ. Childhood blindness in Uzbekistan. *Eye* 1999; 13: 65-70.
41. Darr A and Modell B. The frequency of consanguineous marriage amongst British Pakistanis. *J Med Genet* 1988; 25: 186-90.
42. Schwarz K, Yeung S, Symons N, Bradbury J. Survey of school children with visual impairment in Bradford. *Eye* 2002; 16: 530-4.
43. Teebi AS. Autosomal recessive disorders among Arabs: an overview from Kuwait. *J Med Genet* 1994; 31: 224-33.
44. Lamdouar Bouazzaoui N. Consanguinity and public health in Morocco. *Bull Acad Natl Med* 1994; 178: 1013-25. .
45. Pedersen J. The influence of consanguineous marriage on infant and child mortality among Palestinians in the West Bank and Gaza, Jordan, Lebanon and Syria. *Community Genet* 2002; 5: 178-81.
46. Saunders CA. A study of the prevalence of handicapping conditions affecting children, and a case finding intervention in the refugee camp population of the Gaza Strip. In: *Health in the West Bank and Gaza Strip: Health Development Information Project* 1992:59.
47. McKuzick VA. *Human Genetics*, Prentice Hall inc. New York, 1964; 36-7.
48. Chaleby K, Tuma TA. Cousin marriage and schizophrenia in Saudi Arabia. *Br J Psychiatry* 1987; 150: 547-9.
49. Becker SM, Al Halees Z, Molina C, Paterson RM. Consanguinity and congenital heart disease in Saudi Arabia. *Am J Med Genet* 2001; 99: 8-13.
50. Tchen P, Bois E, Feingold J, Feingold N, Kaplan J. Inbreeding in recessive diseases. *Hum Genet* 1977; 38: 163-7.
51. Zakzouk S. Consanguinity and hearing impairment in developing countries: a custom to be discouraged. *J Laryngol Otol* 2002; 116: 811-6.
52. Al-Gazali LI, Sztriha L, Dawodu A, Bakir M, Varghese M, Varady M, Scorer J, Abdulrazzaq YM, Bener A, Padmanabhan R. Pattern of central nervous system anomalies in a population with a high rate of consanguineous marriages. *Clin Genet* 1999; 55: 95-102.
53. Abdulrazzaq YM, Bener A, al-Gazali LI, al-Khayat AI, Micallef R, Gaber T. A study of possible deleterious effects of consanguinity. *Clin Genet* 1997; 51: 167-73.
54. Khlaf M, Koury M. Inbreeding and disease: demographic, genetic and epidemiologic perspectives. *Epidemiol Rev* 1991; 13: 28-41.
55. Tuncbilek E, Koc I. Consanguineous marriage in Turkey and its impact on fertility and mortality. *Ann Hum Genet* 1994; 58: 321-9.
56. Bener A, Rizk DE, Ezimokhai M, Hassan M, Micallef R, Sawaya M. Consanguinity and the age of menopause in the United Arab Emirates. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1998; 60: 155-60.